

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/708,736                          | 03/22/2004     | Raschid J. Bezama    | FIS920040003US1         | 2735            |
| 23550 7                             | 590 05/23/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
| HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC |                |                      | BOECKMANN, JASON J      |                 |
| 75 STATE STI<br>14TH FLOOR          |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| ALBANY, NY                          |                |                      | 3752                    | <del></del>     |
|                                     |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006 | 5               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | C  |
|--|---|--|
|  | Applicant(s)  |  |
|  | BEZAMA ET AL.   |  |
|  | Art Unit  |  |
|  | 3752  |  |
| et with the c  | correspondence add  | ress   |
| a Notice of endment, affine fee) in one of the reply modern.  I date set forth from the mailing the ma | LOWANCE. Appeal. To avoid abardavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, whigh date of the final rejection of the final rejection. | rice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following sichever is later. In ion. ILED WITHIN |
| nding amount<br>I for reply orig<br>the mailing da   | 136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Officient of the final rejection, of the final rejection.   | iate extension fee<br>ice action; or (2) as<br>even if timely filed,                 |
| 41.37(e)), to  | filed within two month<br>avoid dismissal of th<br>37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |
| filing a brief<br>rch (see NO  | , will <u>not</u> be entered b<br>TE below);  | ecause   |
| materially re  | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for   |
| of finally rej   | ected claims.   |  |
| e of Non-Co  | empliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).  |
| a separate,  | timely filed amendme  | ent canceling the  |
| or b) 🔲 wi<br>ed.  | Il be entered and an e  | explanation of   |
|  |   |  |
| _  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence i   |  |
| under appe   | e date of filing a brief,<br>al and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(   | ils to provide a   |

## **Advisory Action**

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)  |   |
|--------------------|---------------|---|
| 10/708,736         | BEZAMA ET AL. |   |
| Examiner           | Art Unit      | - |
| Jason J. Boeckmann | 3752          |   |

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shee THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITI 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an ame places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS fr Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspon under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after t may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sea (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notic 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appende The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: <u>1,3,4,6,9-11,13 and 15-20</u>. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 

The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons wh was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, bu entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier p 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 presents a new term, "laterally adjacent," in line 7. The term "laterally adjacent" does not appear in the specification as required by rule 1.75(d)(1). Examiner is unsure of what applicant means for the expression to define, as the vertical relative position of the two vortices is not set forth..

David A. Scherbei Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700